

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Maria-Elena Hermanson,  
Plaintiff,

vs.

Clark County Education Association et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No.: 2:14-cv-02153-JAD-NJK

**Order Rejecting Stipulation to File  
Surreply**

The parties have stipulated to allow plaintiff to file a surreply to respond to new arguments raised in defendant's reply in support of its motion to dismiss or for a more definite statement. Doc. 23. There are three problems with this stipulation: (1) this court is not inclined to consider arguments raised for the first time in a reply brief;<sup>1</sup> (2) the rules of this court do not authorize surreplies; and (3) the parties have not demonstrated good cause for the court to ignore either of these principles here. Accordingly, the parties' stipulation (**Doc. 23**) is **rejected**. No surreply shall be filed.

DATED February 17, 2015.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jennifer A. Dorsey  
United States District Judge

<sup>1</sup>See *Zamani v. Carnes*, 491 F.3d 990, 997 (9th Cir. 2007) ("The district court need not consider arguments raised for the first time in a reply brief.").